Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Summary information						
School						
Academic Year	2019-2020	Total PP budget	£40,880	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept 2019	
Total number of pupils	206	Number of pupils eligible for PP	32	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Feb 2020	

2. Current attainment					
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)			
% achieving in reading, writing and maths	46%	71%			
% making progress in reading	65%	80%			
% making progress in writing	52%	74%			
% making progress in maths	49%	78%			

3. B	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)					
In-scl	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)					
A.	Low attainment in a core subject					
B.	Confidence and resilience					
C.	Poor oral language skills					
Extern	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
D.	D. Parental aspirations					
4. D	4. Desired outcomes					
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria				

	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
Α.	PP children will make good if not better progress in all subject areas.	Target Tracker – at least 6 steps progress for the year
В.	PP children will build on their confidence and resilience.	More uptake leading whole school assemblies/liturgies/performances. PP children to volunteer for lunchtime supervision roles.
C.	Enjoyment and attainment in reading leads to improved progress in reading and writing.	All children are enthusiastic about reading and the uptake on reading at home is improved significantly.
D.	Interventions in Maths put in place to ensure differences in attainment are reduced between PP and non PP children.	Maths progress and attainment are measured half termly and PP children show improved attainment and progress.

5. Planned expend	iture				
Academic year	2019-2020				
-	elow enable schools to demonst vhole school strategies.	rate how they are using the pup	il premium to improve classroom p	oedagogy, pro	ovide targeted
i. Quality of teach	ing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation
PP children make good if not better progress in all subject areas.	Quality first teaching. Interventions where required (catch- up reading; place value maths support). Data analysis of progress and on track to achieve ARE	EEF – Teaching should be the top priority, including professional development, training and support for early career teachers and recruitment and retention.	Termly learning walks, focussing on quality first teaching and engagement and progress of PP children within the lesson. (Cover for catch-up meetings – covered by HLTA/Cover Supervisor - £240)	DHT/English Subject Lead Maths Lead Curriculum Leads SENCo	February 2020
PP children's engagement in reading is improved, with enjoyment of reading evident when speaking to them about books.	Whole school approach to investing in a rich reading culture throughout the school. Parents invited in to reading workshops (approaches to listening to their child read at home) and to visit their child's class to witness a reading lesson. Budget spent on new reading material for the school library, classrooms and home readers.	Ofsted Inspection Framework 2019 (deep dives into reading in every inspection)	Pupil interviews carried out termly Termly learning walks with a focus on the teaching of reading in all classes Research into book titles lead to varied and rich reading resources. (£2000) Visitors to school and enrichment opportunities for children provided relating to language (poetry workshops, book fairs, World Book Day events, Pantomime, writing workshops, parents workshops) (£2300)	DHT/English Subject Lead/HT	February 2020
	Total budgeted cost				£4540

What is the evidence and

rationale for this choice?

Desired outcome

Chosen action/approach

How will you ensure it is implemented well?

When will you review

implementation?

Staff lead

PP children who receive intervention make better progress as a result of the interventions (at least 6 steps Target Tracker progress for the year)	Quality intervention led by experienced PP teaching assistant. Good liaison between the class teacher and the intervention lead to address gaps in learning. Meetings with SENDCo and CT on pupil progress. Analysis of data on a half termly basis. £12,016 (Qualified Teacher support) Timetabling and review of groupings/1:1 sessions half termly. Regular feedback to CTs £14,971 (TA support)	EEF - Targeted support for struggling pupils should also be a key component of an effective Pupil Premium strategy; as well as strategies that relate to non-academic factors, including improving attendance, behaviour and social and emotional support.	Regular tracking of PP children's data (half termly) in relation to intervention received. Regular meetings between class teacher and intervention lead to ensure progress is good and gaps in learning are addressed.	DHT/PP Lead	February 2020
Early parent/PP lead meetings to ensure good attendance and behaviour and to outline the learning needs of the child.	Meetings in September/October 2019 outline the use of PP funding and how it will be tailored to the learning needs of the child.	EEF - Taking an evidence-informed approach to Pupil Premium spending can help schools to: Compare how similar challenges have been tackled in other schools Understand the strength of evidence behind alternative approaches Consider the likely costeffectiveness of a range of approaches	Parents are regularly updated on their child's progress and invited into school to see how their child learns e.g. parents invited into see guided reading sessions in action in school time. Tracking of attendance and behaviour and this reported to parents termly.	DHT/PP Lead	February 2020
			Total bud	dgeted cost	£26,987
iii Othor annroach					·
iii. Other approach Desired outcome	es Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

Improving confidence and resilience	Forest School (adventure learning) on site to engage children in nature and using problem solving skills. (£4800)	EEF - Overall, studies of adventure learning interventions consistently show positive benefits on academic learning. On average, pupils who participate in adventure learning interventions make approximately four additional months' progress. There is also evidence of an impact on non-cognitive outcomes such as self-confidence.	Pupil interviews conducted to get children's opinions on Forest School. Data analysis of impact of Forest School on progress.	HT/DHT/PP Lead	Termly
Total budgeted cost				£9,353	